# BPS bound states and quivers

#### Jan Manschot Bonn University & MPIM

Strings 2013 Sogang University, Seoul 28 June, 2013

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Based on work with Boris Pioline and Ashoke Sen

# Most $\frac{1}{2}$ BPS states at weak coupling are bound states of a finite number of elementary BPS states

Seiberg, Witten (1994); Bilal, Ferrari (1996); Lee, Yi (1998); Lerche (2000); Alim, Cecotti, Cordova, Espahbodi, Rastogi, Vafa (2011); Xi (2012); Cecotti, Del Zotto (2013), ...



# Motivation: $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity

### Multi-center black holes $\{\gamma_i\}$ :



#### Problem:

Microscopic counting (g\_s = 0) of BPS black holes does not accurately distinguish single center and multi-center solutions with charge  $\gamma$ 

Maldacena, Strominger, Witten (1997); Strominger, Gaiotto, Yin (2006); Denef, Moore (2007); De Boer, Denef, El-Showk, Messamah, Van den Bleeken (2008);...

# $\Rightarrow$ Understanding of bound states is crucial for precision tests of black hole entropy

 $\mathcal{N} = 2$  BPS equations of motion require the distances  $r_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}_+$  to satisfy:

$$\sum_{j=1\atop j
eq i}^{N}rac{\gamma_{ij}}{r_{ij}}=c_i(\{\gamma_k\};t)$$

- $\gamma_{ij} = \langle \gamma_i, \gamma_j \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ : DSZ innerproduct
- $c_i(\{\gamma_j\}; t) \in \mathbb{R}$ : stability parameter

Denef (2000)

#### Phase space $M(\{\gamma_i\}, \{c_i\})$ :

- parametrizes  $\mathbf{r}_i \in \mathbb{R}^3, i = 1, \dots, N$
- has dimension 2N 2

De Boer, El-Showk, Messamah, Van den Bleeken (2008)

## Multi-center black hole: Two aspects

#### Wall-crossing:

Solutions might decay or recombine upon varying  $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ :

Denef (2000); Denef, Moore (2007),...

For example N = 2:  $\lim_{c_1 \to 0} r_{12} = \lim_{c_1 \to 0} \frac{\gamma_{12}}{c_1} = \pm \infty$ 

#### Scaling solutions:

Centers could get arbitrarily close, depending on  $\{\gamma_i\}$ Bena, Wang, Warner (2006); Denef, Moore (2007),...

For example N = 3: If  $\gamma_{12} + \gamma_{23} \ge \gamma_{31}$ , and cyclic perm.  $\Rightarrow$  $\lim_{\lambda \to 0} r_{ij}(\lambda) = \lambda \gamma_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2) \in M(\{\gamma_i\}, \{c_i\})$ 



・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

## Multi-center black hole: Index

BPS index: 
$$\Omega(\gamma; t) = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}(\gamma, t)} (2J_3)^2 (-1)^{2J_3}$$

Decomposition formula:

$$\bar{\Omega}(\gamma; t) = \sum_{\substack{\sum_{i} N_i \gamma_i = \gamma, \\ \gamma_i \neq \gamma_j, i \neq j}} g(\{N_i \gamma_i\}; \{c_i\}) \prod_{j} \frac{\Omega_{\mathcal{S}}(\gamma_j)^{N_j}}{N_j!}$$

-  $\overline{\Omega}_{S}(\gamma_{j}) = \sum_{n|\gamma_{j}} \frac{\Omega_{S}(\gamma_{j}/n)}{n^{2}}$ : rational invariant associated to Center j Familiar from Schwinger pair creation and D-instanton measure. Gopakumar, Vafa (1998); Kontsevich, Soibelman (2008); Joyce, Song (2008); Kim, Park, Wang, Yi (2011); ... See also P. Yi's talk

- central charges:  $\arg(Z(\gamma_i, t)) \in [\phi, \phi + \pi)$
- $\frac{\bar{\Omega}_{S}(\gamma)^{N}}{N!}$ : Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution
- $g(\{N_i\gamma_i\}; \{c_i\}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ : # of "binding" states

JM, Pioline, Sen (2010)

Main question: How to interpret and determine  $g(\{N_i\gamma_i\}; \{c_i\})$ ?

# Quiver quantum mechanics: Field content

### Low energy excitations of $\frac{1}{2}$ BPS solution $\Downarrow$ $\mathcal{N} = 4$ quiver quantum mechanics

Denef (2002); Denef, Moore (2007); Familiar from BPS monopoles: Cederwall, Ferretti, Nilsson, Salomonson (1995); Sethi, Stern, Zaslow (1995); Gauntlett, Harvey (1996); Gauntlett, Kim, Park, Yi (2000),...

#### Field content is:

- determined by multiplicities  $\{N_i\}$  and innerproducts  $\{\gamma_{ij}\}$ :
  - vector multiplets  $(\mathbf{r}_i, A_i, \lambda_i)$  with gauge group  $U(N_i)$
  - $|\gamma_{ij}|$  bifundamental chiral multiplets  $(\phi^a_{ij}, F^a_{ij}, \psi^a_{ij}), a = 1, \dots, |\gamma_{ij}|$
- parametrized by a quiver  $(\vec{N}, \vec{c})$ :



## Quiver quantum mechanics: Higgs & Coulomb

1.  $g_s \ll 1$ : Higgs branch

- D-term eqs: 
$$\sum_{j,i\to j} \sum_{a=1}^{\gamma_{ij}} \phi_{ij}^{a} (\phi_{ij}^{a})^{\dagger} - \sum_{j,j\to i} \sum_{a=1}^{\gamma_{ji}} (\phi_{ij}^{a})^{\dagger} \phi_{ij}^{a} = c_{i} \mathbf{1}_{N_{i}}$$
  
F-term eqs: 
$$\frac{\partial W(\{\phi_{ij}^{a}\})}{\partial \phi_{kl}^{b}} = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow \text{ equations for Kähler quotient } \mathcal{M}(\vec{N}; \vec{c})$$
$$- \text{ Witten index: } \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{Higgs}}(\vec{N}, \vec{c})}(-1)^{F} = \chi(\mathcal{M}(\vec{N}; \vec{c}))$$
No oriented loops: Reineke (2002)

Λ/

- 2.  $g_s \gg 1$ : Coulomb branch
  - vector multiplets:

$$\sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq i}\\j\neq i}^{N} \frac{\gamma_{ij}}{r_{ij}} = c_i(\{\gamma_j\}; t)$$

Denef (2002); Kim, Park, Wang, Yi (2011),...

N.B.: BPS solutions require more conditions to be physical, in particular regularity of metric  $\Rightarrow$  assume that central charges  $Z(\gamma_i, t)$  are nearly aligned

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Quiver quantum mechanics: Abelianization formula

- $\Rightarrow$  non-Abelian from Abelian:
  - 1. degeneracies:  $\Omega_{\mathcal{S}}(k\gamma_i) = \delta_{k,1}$
  - 2. decomposition formula implies:

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}_Q(ec{N};ec{c}))\sim \sum_{Q'}\chi(\mathcal{M}_{Q'}(ec{1}_{N'};ec{c}'))$$





## Space-time: Equivariant index

Goal: determine  $g(\{\gamma_i\}; \{c_i\})$  in space-time  $g(\{\gamma_i\}, \{c_i\})$  is the (twisted) Dirac index of the space  $M(\{\gamma_i\}, \{c_i\})$ De Boer, El-Showk, Messamah, Van den Bleeken (2008); ...

General computation is feasible by refining the index:

$$\Omega(\gamma, \mathbf{y}; t) = \frac{\mathsf{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{BPS}}(\gamma, t)} (-\mathbf{y})^{2J_2}}{-\mathbf{y}^{-1} + 2 - \mathbf{y}}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  g({ $\gamma_i$ }, y; { $c_i$ }): equivariant Dirac index of M({ $\gamma_i$ }, { $c_i$ })

Index theorem:  $g({\gamma_i}, y; {c_i}) = \int_M Ch(\mathcal{L}, \nu) \hat{A}(M, \nu)|_{2N-2}$ with  $\nu = \log(y)$ ,  $Ch(\mathcal{L}, \nu) =$  equivariant Chern character of  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\hat{A}(M, \nu) =$  equivariant  $\hat{A}$ -genus of M

Berline, Vergne (1985)



 $\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \mathbb{S}_{i} \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\{\gamma_i\}, \{c_i\}) \text{ of } J_3$ 



▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

JM, Pioline, Sen (2011)

### Space-time: Fixed point formula

Fixed point formula:

$$g(\{\gamma_i\}, y; \{c_i\}) = \frac{(-1)^{\sum_{i < j} \gamma_{ij} + N - 1}}{(y - y^{-1})^{N - 1}} \sum_{p \in \{\text{f.p. of } J_3\}} s(p) y^{2J_3(p)}$$

- angular momentum:

$$J_3(p) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} \gamma_{ij} \operatorname{sign}(z_j - z_i)$$

- sign:

$$s(p) = \operatorname{sign}\left(\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial z_i \partial z_j}\right)\right)$$

with  $W(\{z_i\}) = -\sum_{i < j} \gamma_{ij} \operatorname{sign}(z_j - z_i) \log |z_i - z_j| - \sum_{i=1}^N c_i z_i$ 

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≧▶▲≧▶ ≧ のQで

# Algorithm: Deforming $\gamma_{ij}$

**Problem:** (numerical) determination of fixed points is tedious and time-consuming

**Resolution**: Recursive determination of  $g(\{\gamma_i\}, y; \{c_i\})$  using:

- 1.  $\gamma_{ij}$  can be deformed from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  in Denef equations
- 2. take a convenient choice  $\gamma_{0,ij}$  for  $\gamma_{ij}$
- 3. determine  $s_0(p)$
- 4. study the (dis)appearance of extrema of  $W(\{z_i\})$  during the reverse deformation:  $s(p) = s_0(p) + \sum_A s_A(p)$

For example:

$$N = 2$$
,  $x = z_2 - z_1$ ,  $c_1 < 0$ :



# Algorithm: Results

For quivers without closed loops:

- explicit expression for s(p) is obtained:

$$s(p) = \prod_{k=1}^{N} \Theta( ilde{\gamma}_{k,k+1} \, ilde{c}_k) \, (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \Theta(- ilde{\gamma}_{k,k+1})}$$

where  $\Theta(x) =$  step function

- agrees with Higgs branch result

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\operatorname{BPS},\operatorname{Higgs}}(\vec{1}_{N},\vec{c})}(-y)^{2J_{3}}=P(\mathcal{M}(\vec{1}_{N},\vec{c}),-y)$$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Reineke (2002); JM, Pioline, Sen (2013)

- agrees with wall-crossing formulas

Sen (2011)

## Algorithm: Minimal modification hypothesis

## With loops:

- scaling solutions are possible
- explicit algorithm, recursive in the number of centers
- sum over regular fixed points  $\neq$  SU(2) character

Problem: What is the contribution of the scaling fixed point? Proposal: Minimal modification hypothesis:

$$g(\{\gamma_i\}, y; \{c_i\}) = \frac{(-1)^{\sum_{i < j} \gamma_{ij} + N - 1}}{(y - y^{-1})^{N - 1}} \left( \sum_{p} s(p) y^{2J_3(p)} + \frac{p_{scal}(y)}{p} \right)$$

Determine  $p_{scal}(y)$  iteratively by:

-  $g(\{\gamma_i\}, y; \{c_i\})$  is an SU(2) character

- classically 
$$J_3(p_{scal}) = 0 \implies \lim_{y \to \infty} \frac{p_{scal}(y)}{(y - y^{-1})^{N-1}} = 0$$

# Pure Higgs states

Higgs-Coulomb map  $B : \mathcal{H}_{\text{Higgs}}(\gamma, t) \to \mathcal{H}_{\text{Coulomb}}(\gamma, t)$ surjective map with kernel  $\mathcal{K}_B$ : pure Higgs states Berkooz, Verlinde (1999); Bena, Berkooz, De Boer, El-Showk, Van den Bleeken (2012); Lee, Wang, Yi (2012);...

If  $K_B \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow P(\mathcal{M}(\vec{1}_N, \vec{c}), -y) - g(\{\gamma_i\}, y; \{c_i\}) \neq 0$ 

-  $K_B \neq 0$  only occurs with loops

- extra states are associated to scaling fixed points
- not distinguishable from single center black hole states  $\Rightarrow$  contribute to  $\Omega_S(\sum_i \gamma_i; y)$
- supersymmetric single center black hole has J = 0 $\Rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{S}}(\gamma, \mathbf{y}) = \Omega_{\mathcal{S}}(\gamma) \in \mathbb{N}$

Sen (2009)

Abelian quivers: Lefshetz hyperplane theorem  $\Rightarrow \Omega_{S}(\gamma, y) \in \mathbb{N}$ Bena, Berkoz, De Boer, El-Showk, Van den Bleeken (2012); Lee, Wang, Yi (2012); JM, Pioline, Sen (2013), ...

# Conclusions

- 1. A rather complete description is developed of BPS bound states using quivers
- 2. New results on both Coulomb and Higgs side, in particular:
  - localization
  - abelianization

#### CoulombHiggs.m:

- $\operatorname{MATHEMATICA}$  package for Coulomb and Higgs computations
- available at arXiv:1302.5498

#### Thank you for your attention!

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <