

Constrainting effective actions via scattering amplitudes

Congkao Wen

1605.08697

with Massimo Bianchi, Andrea Guerrieri, Yu-tin Huang, Chao-Jung Lee

I.N.F.N. University of Rome, Tor Vergata

Tsinghua University, Strings 2016

August 01-05, 2016, Beijing

 Complicated Feynman diagram computation of scattering amplitudes sometimes gives to very simple results.



Search for new ways of studying scattering amplitudes: New methods, new mathematical structures and new symmetries, new formulations, ...

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

► Here we try to apply the ideas to effective field theories.

- Here we try to apply the ideas to effective field theories.
- For an effective field theory, we are interested in low-energy physics below a certain energy scale Λ. The effective theory can be studied in the expansion of 1/Λ,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{eff}} = -rac{1}{2}\partial^{\mu}\phi\partial_{\mu}\phi + rac{c_2}{\Lambda^4}\partial^4\phi^4 + +rac{c_3}{\Lambda^6}\partial^6\phi^4 + \dots ,$$

- Here we try to apply the ideas to effective field theories.
- For an effective field theory, we are interested in low-energy physics below a certain energy scale Λ. The effective theory can be studied in the expansion of 1/Λ,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial^{\mu} \phi \partial_{\mu} \phi + \frac{c_2}{\Lambda^4} \partial^4 \phi^4 + + \frac{c_3}{\Lambda^6} \partial^6 \phi^4 + \dots ,$$

Low-energy physics are constrained by consistent conditions, symmetries etc.. One efficient way to realize them is using scattering amplitude with some advantages: independent of field redefinition, non-linearity is encoded in factorizations...

Outline

- Supersymmetry constraints on the effective action of N = 4 SYM in the Coulumb branch.
- Constraints from breaking conformal symmetry in the form of soft theorems.

Scale invariance v.s. conformal symmetry.

Effective action of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM in Coloumb branch

- ▶ We are interested in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM in the Coloumb branch, $U(N+1) \rightarrow U(N) \times U(1)$. We focus on the U(1) part.
- Expand in large m_w , the effective action takes the form:

$$S_{\rm eff} = -\frac{1}{4}F^2 + \frac{c_4^{(0)}}{m_w^4}F^4 + \frac{c_6^{(0)}}{m_w^8}F^6 + \frac{c_4^{(2)}}{m_w^8}\partial^4 F^4 + \frac{c_4^{(3)}}{m_w^{10}}\partial^6 F^4 + \dots$$

Example of perturbation contributions:



$$A_4^{1-\text{loop}} = F^4 \int \frac{d^4\ell}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{g^4 N}{(\ell^2 + m_w^2)((\ell + p_1)^2 + m_w^2)((\ell + p_{12})^2 + m_w^2)((\ell - p_4)^2 + m_w^2)}$$

・ロト・(部・・ヨト・ヨー・)への

► The "MHV" operator F²₋F^{2ℓ}₊ is ℓ-loop exact due to N = 4 SUSY. [Chen, Huang, C.W. (2015)]

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

- ► The "MHV" operator F²₋F^{2ℓ}₊ is ℓ-loop exact due to N = 4 SUSY. [Chen, Huang, C.W. (2015)]
- As for ℓ = 1 (F²_−F²₊), this is a known non-renormalization theorem [Dine, Seiberg (1997)] that F⁴ is one-loop exact in N = 4 SYM.

- ► The "MHV" operator F²₋F^{2ℓ}₊ is ℓ-loop exact due to N = 4 SUSY. [Chen, Huang, C.W. (2015)]
- As for ℓ = 1 (F²_−F²₊), this is a known non-renormalization theorem [Dine, Seiberg (1997)] that F⁴ is one-loop exact in N = 4 SYM.
- ► F⁶ (F²₋F⁴₊ and its conjugate) is not generated at one loop, but appears at two loops, and it was conjectured to be two-loop exact! [Buchbinder, Petrov, Tseytlin (2001)]

This non-renormalization theorem is due to the fact that, with N = 4 SUSY, there is no consistent local superamplitude corresponding to F²_−F^{2ℓ}₊. Thus the contributions (coming from F²_−F^{2q}₊ with q ≤ ℓ) should sum up to 0.

- This non-renormalization theorem is due to the fact that, with N = 4 SUSY, there is no consistent local superamplitude corresponding to F²_−F^{2ℓ}₊. Thus the contributions (coming from F²_−F^{2q}₊ with q ≤ ℓ) should sum up to 0.
- It gives to a recursion relation to relate F²_−F^{2ℓ}₊ to F²_−F²₊, while the later is one-loop exact leads to F²_−F^{2ℓ}₊ being ℓ-loop exact,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{F}_{-}^{2}\mathbf{F}_{+}^{2\mathbf{q}}} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (4)^{\ell-1} \left(-\frac{\lambda}{2(4\pi)^{2}} \right)^{\ell} \frac{(F_{-})^{2}(F_{+})^{2\ell}}{m_{w}^{2\ell}} \,,$$

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

and it is identical to DBI.

- This non-renormalization theorem is due to the fact that, with N = 4 SUSY, there is no consistent local superamplitude corresponding to F²_−F^{2ℓ}₊. Thus the contributions (coming from F²_−F^{2q}₊ with q ≤ ℓ) should sum up to 0.
- It gives to a recursion relation to relate F²_−F^{2ℓ}₊ to F²_−F²₊, while the later is one-loop exact leads to F²_−F^{2ℓ}₊ being ℓ-loop exact,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{F}_{-}^{2}\mathbf{F}_{+}^{2\mathbf{q}}} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (4)^{\ell-1} \left(-\frac{\lambda}{2(4\pi)^{2}} \right)^{\ell} \frac{(F_{-})^{2}(F_{+})^{2\ell}}{m_{w}^{2\ell}} \,,$$

and it is identical to DBI.

 Go beyond SUSY: Further constraints from the spontaneously broken symmetries in the form of Soft theorems. Soft theorems for $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM in Coloumb branch

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Soft theorems for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM in Coloumb branch

In the Coloumb branch, six massless scalars are Goldstone bosons: one is dilaton (φ) of conformal symmetry breaking, and the other five are Goldston bosons (φ^I) of R-symmetry breaking, SU(4) → Sp(4).

Soft theorems for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM in Coloumb branch

- In the Coloumb branch, six massless scalars are Goldstone bosons: one is dilaton (φ) of conformal symmetry breaking, and the other five are Goldston bosons (φ^I) of R-symmetry breaking, SU(4) → Sp(4).
- Due to the (broken) symmetries, amplitudes with Goldstone boson π satisfy soft theorems:

$$\mathcal{A}_n(\phi_1,\cdots,\phi_{n-1},\pi_n)|_{p_n\to 0}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\langle\phi_1,\cdots,\delta\phi_i,\cdots,\phi_{n-1}\rangle|_{\mathrm{LSZ}}$$

 $\delta \phi_i$ is the infinitesimal transformation of the hard particle ϕ_i under the generators of the symmetry.

Soft theorems from spontaneously breaking conformal symmetry

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = 差 = のへで

Soft theorems from spontaneously breaking conformal symmetry

In the case of dilaton of conformal symmetry breaking,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\phi &= [\mathcal{D},\phi] = i(d+x^{\mu}\partial_{\mu})\phi, \\ \delta_{\mu}\phi &= [\mathcal{K}_{\mu},\phi] = i\left((2x_{\mu}x_{\nu}-\eta_{\mu\nu}x^{2})\partial^{\nu}+2d\,x_{\mu}\right)\phi \end{aligned}$$

 It leads to the soft theorems of dilatons [Boels, Wormsbecher (2015), Huang, C.W. (2015), Di Vecchia, Marotta, Mojaza, Nohle (2015)]

$$v A_n |_{\rho_n \to \tau \rho_n} \to \left(\dots + S_n^{(0)} + \tau S_n^{(1)} \right) A_{n-1} + \mathcal{O}(\tau^2),$$

with soft factors,

$$S_n^{(0)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(p_i \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + \frac{d-2}{2} \right) - d \quad \text{From } \mathcal{D}$$

$$S_n^{(1)} = p_n^{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(2 p_i^{\nu} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_i^{\nu} \partial p_i^{\mu}} - p_{i\mu} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_{i\nu} \partial p_i^{\nu}} \right) + \frac{d-2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i^{\mu}} \right] \quad \text{From } \mathcal{K}_{\mu}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへの

 To utilize the soft theorem constraints systematically: Recursion relations.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

 To utilize the soft theorem constraints systematically: Recursion relations.

 Standard BCFW cannot apply here due to 'bad' large-z behaviour. We instead use recursion relations based on soft theorems [Cheung, Kampf, Novotny, Sheng, Trnka (2015)][Luo, C.W. (2015)].

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 To utilize the soft theorem constraints systematically: Recursion relations.

- Standard BCFW cannot apply here due to 'bad' large-z behaviour. We instead use recursion relations based on soft theorems [Cheung, Kampf, Novotny, Sheng, Trnka (2015)][Luo, C.W. (2015)].
- Recursion relations using soft theorems of the (broken) conformal symmetry lead to: all the amplitudes up to the order sⁿ are fully determined by the k-point amplitude at order s^k for k ≤ n.

Constraints on pure dilaton effective actions

• Summary:

$s^k \setminus \#$ of points	4	5	6	7	•••
2	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
3	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
4	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
5	\checkmark	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
6	\checkmark	\checkmark	×	\checkmark	\checkmark
7	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\times	\checkmark
÷					

Table: The \times is to indicate the amplitudes that have to be computed by other means, then all other amplitudes marked with \checkmark are completely determined by the soft theorems.

Constraints on pure dilaton effective actions

If each scalar mostly carries one derivative (L_{eff}(φ, ∂φ)): at 2n or (2n+1) points, amplitudes mostly go as sⁿ:

$s^n \setminus \#$ of points	4	5	6	7	8	•••
2	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
3	0	0	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
4	0	0	0	0	\checkmark	\checkmark
5	0	0	0	0	0	\checkmark
÷						

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

It is uniquely fixed and identical to the conformal DBI.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = = の�?

▶ For order- s^2 amplitudes in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM in the Coulumb branch (or $\partial^4 \phi^n$), we find they are all one-loop exact, and in fact identical to conformal DBI.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- ▶ For order- s^2 amplitudes in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM in the Coulumb branch (or $\partial^4 \phi^n$), we find they are all one-loop exact, and in fact identical to conformal DBI.
- ► At order s^3 ($\partial^6 \phi^n$), they are all two-loop exact, and identical to conformal DBI.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = = の�?

► At order s⁴ (∂⁸φⁿ): four-point amplitude has an unique kinematics structure but with a highly non-trivial coefficient

$$\mathcal{A}_4^{(4)} = c_4^{(4)}(g, N) imes (s^2 + t^2 + u^2) \, \mathcal{F}^4$$
 .

 $c_4^{(4)}(g, N)$ is expected to receive all-loop and instanton contributions, and should be constrained by SL(2, Z) symmetry.

► At order s⁴ (∂⁸φⁿ): four-point amplitude has an unique kinematics structure but with a highly non-trivial coefficient

$$\mathcal{A}_4^{(4)} = c_4^{(4)}(g, N) imes (s^2 + t^2 + u^2) \, \mathcal{F}^4$$
 .

 $c_4^{(4)}(g, N)$ is expected to receive all-loop and instanton contributions, and should be constrained by SL(2, Z) symmetry.

► All higher-point amplitudes at order s⁴ are completely determined by a single coefficient c₄⁽⁴⁾(g, N) via soft theorems.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = = の�?

• Up to order s^4 , the effective action can be summarised as

$$\sum_{m\leq 8} \mathcal{L}_{\partial^m \phi^n} = c_4^{(4)}(g, N) \mathcal{L}_{\partial^8 \phi^n}^{(1)} + \sum_{m\leq 8} \mathcal{L}_{\partial^m \phi^n}^{\mathrm{DBI}}.$$

 $\mathcal{L}^{(1)}_{\partial^{8}\phi^{n}}$ is the one-loop Lagrangian (fixed by soft theorems!).

• Up to order s^4 , the effective action can be summarised as

$$\sum_{m\leq 8} \mathcal{L}_{\partial^m \phi^n} = c_4^{(4)}(g, N) \mathcal{L}_{\partial^8 \phi^n}^{(1)} + \sum_{m\leq 8} \mathcal{L}_{\partial^m \phi^n}^{\mathrm{DBI}}.$$

 $\mathcal{L}^{(1)}_{\partial^8 \phi^n}$ is the one-loop Lagrangian (fixed by soft theorems!).

Effective action at order s⁵ are completely determined by two four-point coefficients:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\partial^{10}\phi^n} &= c_4^{(5)}(g,N)\mathcal{L}_{\partial^{10}\phi^n}^{(1)} + c_4^{(4)}(g,N)\mathcal{L}_{\partial^{10}\phi^n}^{(2)} + \mathcal{L}_{\partial^{10}\phi^n}^{\mathrm{DBI}}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\partial^{10}\phi^n}^{(2)} &\text{ is the two-loop Lagrangian (fixed by soft theorems!).} \end{split}$$

▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 画 ▶ ▲ 画 → のへで

Scale invariance v.s. Conformal in relativistic QFT.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Scale invariance v.s. Conformal in relativistic QFT.

In our language, the question can be framed as, to what extent does the subleading soft theorem due to conformal boost follow from the leading behaviour stemming from dilation symmetry?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Scale invariance v.s. Conformal in relativistic QFT.
- In our language, the question can be framed as, to what extent does the subleading soft theorem due to conformal boost follow from the leading behaviour stemming from dilation symmetry?
- We find that if n-point order-s^k amplitude satisfies the leading soft theorem, it automatically obeys the subleading soft theorem, with n = 5 or n > 2k and the lower-point amplitudes entering factorizations satisfy both leading and subleading soft theorems.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

A seven-point example of order s^3 :

A seven-point example of order s^3 :

Using both leading and sub-leading soft theorems:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{A}_{6}^{(3)} & = & -c_{5}^{(3)}(s_{12}^{3}+\mathcal{P}_{6}) - \left(\frac{c_{5}^{(3)}}{2}+(c_{4}^{(2)})^{2}\right)(s_{123}^{3}+\mathcal{P}_{6}) \\ & + & (c_{4}^{(2)})^{2}\left((s_{12}^{2}+s_{13}^{2}+s_{23}^{2})\frac{1}{s_{123}}(s_{45}^{2}+s_{46}^{2}+s_{56}^{2})+\mathcal{P}_{6}\right) \end{array}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

A seven-point example of order s^3 :

Using both leading and sub-leading soft theorems:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{A}_{6}^{(3)} & = & -c_{5}^{(3)}(s_{12}^{3}+\mathcal{P}_{6}) - \left(\frac{c_{5}^{(3)}}{2} + (c_{4}^{(2)})^{2}\right)(s_{123}^{3}+\mathcal{P}_{6}) \\ & + & (c_{4}^{(2)})^{2}\left((s_{12}^{2}+s_{13}^{2}+s_{23}^{2})\frac{1}{s_{123}}(s_{45}^{2}+s_{46}^{2}+s_{56}^{2})+\mathcal{P}_{6}\right) \end{array}$$

Now, the leading soft theorem alone allows us to determine order-s³ 7-point amplitude

$$A_{7}^{(3)} = c_{5}^{(3)}(s_{12}^{3} + \mathcal{P}_{7}) + \left(c_{5}^{(3)} + 3(c_{4}^{(2)})^{2}\right)(s_{123}^{3} + \mathcal{P}_{7}) - (c_{4}^{(2)})^{2}A_{7}^{\text{fac}}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

A seven-point example of order s^3 :

Using both leading and sub-leading soft theorems:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{6}^{(3)} &= -c_{5}^{(3)}(s_{12}^{3} + \mathcal{P}_{6}) - \left(\frac{c_{5}^{(3)}}{2} + (c_{4}^{(2)})^{2}\right)(s_{123}^{3} + \mathcal{P}_{6}) \\ &+ (c_{4}^{(2)})^{2}\left((s_{12}^{2} + s_{13}^{2} + s_{23}^{2})\frac{1}{s_{123}}(s_{45}^{2} + s_{46}^{2} + s_{56}^{2}) + \mathcal{P}_{6}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Now, the leading soft theorem alone allows us to determine order-s³ 7-point amplitude

$$A_{7}^{(3)} = c_{5}^{(3)}(s_{12}^{3} + \mathcal{P}_{7}) + \left(c_{5}^{(3)} + 3(c_{4}^{(2)})^{2}\right)(s_{123}^{3} + \mathcal{P}_{7}) - (c_{4}^{(2)})^{2}A_{7}^{\text{fac}}$$

 A₇⁽³⁾ with particular parameters fixed by the leading soft theorem does satisfy the sub-leading soft theorem automatically.

Conclusions and remarks

- The requirement of having consistent S-matrix can impose highly non-trivial constraints on the theory.
- ▶ Both SUSY and soft theorems can strongly constrain the effective action of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM (as well as (2,0)) in the Coloumb branch, and lead to new non-renormalization theorems.
- With some conditions, we observe amplitudes determined by leading soft automatically satisfy the subleading soft theorem.
- It would be interesting to explore other possible constraints such as SL(2,Z) symmetry.
- We may eventually require explicit higher-loop as well as multi-instanton data, at least for some lower-point cases.

Thank you!