Some Observations on Holographic c-function and Black Hole Singularity

Shamik Banerjee, Institute Of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India Based On JHEP 1510 (2015) 098 (SB), JHEP 1605 (2016) 126 (SB,Arpan Bhattacharya), arxiv:1512.02232 (SB, Partha Paul)

August 3, 2016

KORK ERKER ADE YOUR

Motivation

 \triangleright In AdS-CFT, moving in the radial direction corresponds to probing the dual field theory at different energy scales.

 \triangleright But "radial direction" is in general ambiguious in an arbitrary asymptotically AdS geometry \rightarrow Having a more covariant prescription will be helpful.

 \triangleright Our main tool will be a covariant prescription for holographic c-function and some facts about Quantum Information Theory.

KORKAR KERKER EL VOLO

An algorithm for constructing holographic c-function

In Poincare coordinates the metric of pure AdS is,

$$
ds^{2} = \frac{L^{2}}{z^{2}} \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} + dz^{2} \right)
$$
 (1)

KORK STRAIN A BAR SHOP

Take a boundary point p with coordinates $x^{\mu} = z = 0$.

 \blacktriangleright The bulk light-cone of p has equation,

$$
\Sigma : \eta_{\mu\nu} x^{\mu} x^{\nu} + z^2 = 0 \tag{2}
$$

AdS metric has a scaling symmetry, $(x^{\mu}, z \rightarrow \lambda x^{\mu}, \lambda z)$, generated by the vector field,

$$
D = x^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} + z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}
$$
 (3)

KORK ERKER ADE YOUR

 \triangleright D is null on the light-cone Σ and is also tangential to it $\rightarrow \Sigma$ is a Killing horizon generated by D

RG-Flow

▶ RG-flow \rightarrow Breaking of the scaling symmetry D

 \triangleright As an example consider the standard RG-flow (domain-wall) geometry in 3 dimensions,

$$
ds^{2} = \frac{L^{2}}{z^{2}} \left(-dt^{2} + dx^{2} + \frac{dz^{2}}{f(z)} \right)
$$
 (4)

where

$$
f(z) \to 1, \ z \to 0 \tag{5}
$$

$$
f(z) \to \frac{L^2}{L_{IR}^2}, \ z \to \infty \tag{6}
$$

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 X X 할 X 및 할 X X Q Q O

 L_{IR} = size of AdS_{IR} region

In this geometry the bulk light-cone of p is no longer a Killing horizon.

 \rightarrow The new light-cone is "dynamical" i.e, the null geodesic generators have non-zero expansion and shear.

KORK ERKER ADE YOUR

 \triangleright The dynamics of light-cone \sim RG-flow

c-function

An obvious prescription for c-function \rightarrow Bekenstein-Hawking entropy density on space-like slices of the light-cone.

 \blacktriangleright It works

\blacktriangleright Lets do it for RG-flow geometry :

$$
ds^{2} = \frac{L^{2}}{z^{2}} \left(-dt^{2} + dx^{2} + \frac{dz^{2}}{f(z)} \right)
$$
 (7)

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 > K 할 > 1 할 > 1 이익어

 \blacktriangleright Light-cone in this geometry is given by,

$$
\bar{\Sigma} : -t^2 + x^2 + \bar{z}^2 = 0 \tag{8}
$$

where,

$$
\bar{z} = \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{\sqrt{f(z')}}\tag{9}
$$

 \triangleright We work with the future light-cone.

Induced metric on $\bar{\Sigma}$ is,

$$
ds_{ind}^2 = L^2 \frac{\bar{z}^2(\lambda)}{z^2(\lambda)} d\eta^2
$$
 (10)

 $\eta \to$ comoving coordinate along spacelike slices of $\bar{\Sigma}$. $\lambda \to$ coordinate along null geodesic generators of $\bar{\Sigma}$ As $\lambda \to -\infty$ [,](#page-6-0) $z(\lambda) \to 0$ $z(\lambda) \to 0$ $z(\lambda) \to 0$ $z(\lambda) \to 0$ and as $\lambda \to +\infty$, $z(\lambda) \to \infty$ -990 ÷.

 \triangleright Define Bekenstein-Hawking entropy density,

$$
\frac{dS_{BH}}{d\eta} = \frac{L}{4G_N} \frac{\bar{z}}{z}
$$
 (11)

 \triangleright So the *c*-function is,

$$
c(\lambda) = \frac{L}{4G_N} \frac{\bar{z}}{z} = \frac{L}{4G_N} \int_0^1 \frac{d\alpha}{\sqrt{f(\alpha z(\lambda))}}
$$
(12)

(S.Banerjee)

 \triangleright One can easily check that,

$$
\frac{dc}{d\lambda}\leq 0\tag{13}
$$

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | © 9 Q @

$$
c(-\infty) = \frac{L}{4G_N} = \frac{c_{UV}}{6}
$$
 (14)

$$
c(\infty) = \frac{L_{IR}}{4G_N} = \frac{c_{IR}}{6}
$$
 (15)

$$
\rightarrow c_{UV} \geq c_{IR}
$$

 \blacktriangleright In AdS_{d+1},

 \blacktriangleright

$$
c(\lambda) = \frac{L^{d-1}}{4G_N} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{d\alpha}{\sqrt{f(\alpha z(\lambda))}} \right)^{d-1} \tag{16}
$$

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 9 Q @

 \triangleright The fact that our c-function is decreasing monotonically is a reflection of the fact that the future light-cone satisfies second law similar to black holes. (Jacobson-Parentani)

 \triangleright So monotonicity is not restricted to domain-wall geometries only.

 \triangleright This allows us to study general asymptotically AdS geometries from the point of view of RG-flow.

 \triangleright This points to the existence of new monotonically decreasing function of the length scale, which generalises Zamolodchikov-type c-function

4 D > 4 P + 4 B + 4 B + B + 9 Q O

Black-Brane As RG-Flow

We follow the same procedure again by constructing the future bulk light-cone of the point $(x^{\mu} = z = 0)$. The light-cone reaches the curvature singularity.

 \triangleright The metric of the five-dimenional black brane in ingoing Edington-Finkelstein coordinates is,

$$
ds^{2} = \frac{1}{z^{2}} \Big[-(1 - z^{4})dv^{2} - 2dvdz + d\vec{x}^{2} \Big]
$$
 (17)

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 9 Q @

 \triangleright The curvature singularity is at $z = \infty$ and Lorentz invariance is broken everywhere except near the UV boundary.

 \triangleright The parametric equation of the future bulk light-cone is,

$$
z(\lambda, \eta) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sinh \eta} \frac{1 - cn(2\lambda\sqrt{\sinh \eta}, 1/\sqrt{2})}{1 + cn(2\lambda\sqrt{\sinh \eta}, 1/\sqrt{2})}}
$$

$$
v(\lambda, \eta) = \int_0^{\lambda} d\lambda' F(\lambda', \eta)
$$

$$
x^{i}(\lambda, \eta, \hat{n}^{i}) = -\lambda \sinh \eta \hat{n}^{i}
$$
 (18)

where we have defined,

$$
F(\lambda, \eta) = \sinh^2 \eta \frac{(1 + cn)^2 \cosh \eta - \sqrt{2}\sqrt{1 + cn^2}(1 + cn)}{(1 + cn)^2 \sinh^2 \eta - (1 - cn)^2}
$$
\n(19)

and $cn \equiv cn(2\lambda)$ sinh $\overline{\eta}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\overline{\overline{2}}$) . λ is a parameter along the null geodesic generators and (η, \hat{n}) are comoving coordinates parametrizing the spacelike cross-sections of the light-cone. $\delta_{ij}\hat{n}^i\hat{n}^j=1$ and at $\lambda=0$, $z=\nu=x^i=0$. .

 \triangleright Now we can compute the differential Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the light-cone \rightarrow

$$
dS_{BH} = \frac{dV_{ind}}{4G_N} = \frac{L^3}{4G_N}c(\lambda, \eta)dV_{H^3}
$$
 (20)

where $dV_{H^3} = \sinh^2 \eta \sin \theta d\eta d\theta d\phi$.

 \blacktriangleright We also have,

$$
c(0,\eta)=1\tag{21}
$$

where $\lambda = 0 \rightarrow AdS$ -boundary.

 \triangleright So our *c*-function is.

$$
c_{\eta}(\lambda) = \frac{L^3}{4 G_N} c(\lambda, \eta)
$$
 (22)

KORK ERKER ADE YOUR

(S.Banerjee, Partha Paul)

\blacktriangleright So,

$$
c_{\eta}(Boundary) = a
$$

\n
$$
c_{\eta}(Singularity) = 0
$$

\n
$$
\frac{d}{d\lambda}c_{\eta}(\lambda) \le 0
$$
\n(23)

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | © 9 Q @

where *a* is the central charge of the 4-D CFT.

 \triangleright So the c-function monotonically decreases from a to zero at the curvature singularity.

イロト (御) (道) (道) (道) 2990 So from the bulk RG point of view the singularity appears as a trivial IR fixed point

K ロ K イロ K K モ K K モ K エ エ エ イ の Q Q C

Search for a thermal *c*-function

 \triangleright The black brane is dual to an (approximately) thermal state of the CFT on $R^{3,1}$.

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 > K 할 > 1 할 > 1 이익어

 \triangleright Can this be finite temperature entanglement entropy ?

Suppose we consider a ball in R^3 of radius R . This is our subsystem for which we want to compute the renormalized entanglement entropy when the field theory is in the thermal state. Since the the theory is scale invariant the renormalized entanglement entropy will have the functional form $S_{RFF}(RT)$, where T is the temperature. It is known that as $T \rightarrow 0$, $S_{RFF} \rightarrow a$. This matches with the behavior of our c-function in the same limit. In the opposite limit of $T \to \infty$ on the other hand the renormalized entanglement entropy S_{RFF} is nonzero and dominated by thermal entropy of the system. This does not match with the IR behavior of the c-function.

 2990

 \triangleright This is not surprising because entanglement entropy is not an entanglement measure in a mixed state. In the high temperature limit its value is given by the thermal entropy which is all classical correlation. On the other hand, we expect all quantum correlations/entanglement to go to zero at very high temperature. Entanglement entropy cannot reproduce this. So is there a candidate for such a quantity in the field theory ?

 \triangleright Such a quantity indeed exists and is called the (Logarithmic) Entanglement Negativity. This is a computable entanglement measure for a mixed state. (Vidal-Werner)

4 D > 4 P + 4 B + 4 B + B + 9 Q O

Entanglement Negativity

 ρ

- ρ is the density matrix describing the composite system $A \cup B$ with Hilbert space $H_A\bigotimes H_B$.
- \triangleright Partial transpose of ρ w.r.t A \rightarrow

$$
\langle i_{A}j_{B}|\rho^{T_{A}}|k_{A}l_{B}\rangle = \langle k_{A}j_{B}|\rho|i_{A}l_{B}\rangle \tag{24}
$$

If a density matrix is separable/unentangled, i.e,

$$
\rho = \sum_{i} p_i \rho_A^i \bigotimes \rho_B^i \tag{25}
$$

KORKAR KERKER EL VOLO

where $p_i\geq 0$ and $\sum_i p_i=1\rightarrow\rho^{\mathcal{T}_A}>0$

In general ρ^{T_A} is not positive semidefinite.

 \blacktriangleright Let $\{\lambda_i < 0\}$ denote the negative eigenvalues of $\rho^{\mathcal{T}_A}.$

► Entanglement negativity $\rightarrow N(\rho) = \sum_i |\lambda_i|$

 \triangleright Logarithmic entanglement negativity \rightarrow $E_N(\rho) = \ln(1 + 2N(\rho))$

 \blacktriangleright If ρ is unentangled $\rightarrow \rho^{T_A} > 0 \rightarrow N(\rho) = 0 \rightarrow E_N(\rho) = 0$

KORKAR KERKER EL VOLO

E_N in 2-d CFT on $R^{1,1}$ at temperature β^{-1}

$$
E_N = \frac{c}{2} \ln \left[\frac{\beta}{\pi a} \sinh \left(\frac{\pi L}{\beta} \right) \right] - \frac{\pi c L}{2\beta} + f(e^{-\frac{2\pi L}{\beta}}) + 2 \ln c_{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad (26)
$$

4 D > 4 P + 4 B + 4 B + B + 9 Q O

(Calabrese-Cardy-Tonni) where a is the short distance cutoff, c is the central charge of the CFT and $c_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a constant. $f(x)$ is a scaling function which depends on the full operator content of the CFT such that $f(1) = 0$ and $f(0) =$ constant.

 \triangleright UV is the region where $\beta >> L >> a$ and we get,

$$
E_N^{UV} = \frac{c}{2} \ln \frac{L}{a} + 2 \ln c_{\frac{1}{2}}
$$
 (27)

Similarly in the IR, $a \ll \beta \ll L$ and we get,

$$
E_N^{IR} = \frac{c}{2} \ln \frac{\beta}{2\pi a} + f(0) + 2 \ln c_{\frac{1}{2}}
$$
 (28)

- \triangleright So in the IR this becomes a non-universal constant independent of the length L of the subsystem. This is the crucial difference from entanglement entropy.
- \triangleright Define renormalized negativity, E_R , just like renormalized entanglement entropy as,

$$
E_{RN} = L \frac{d}{dL} \Big|_{\beta} E_N \tag{29}
$$

KORK ERKER ADE YOUR

 E_{RN} is UV-finite.

 \blacktriangleright So,

$$
E_{RN}(UV) = \frac{c}{2}
$$

\n
$$
E_{RN}(IR) = 0
$$
\n(30)

KORK ERKER ADE YOUR

This is precisely what we want ! (S.banerjee, Partha Paul)

- It is too easy to reproduce the UV behavior of the c -function but the IR behavior is nontrivial.
- \triangleright Anything that is sensitive to classical correlations may fail to satisfy the IR condition.
- \triangleright So Renormalized Logarithmic Entanglement Negativity is a potential candidate for the thermal c-function

Some Suggestions

In the dual field theory singularity is manifested as lack of long range quantum entanglement in the thermal state.

 \triangleright Mathematically, vanishing of (Logarithmic) entanglement negativity in the IR is the signature of singularity

 \triangleright So it seems that negativity can probe the bulk all the way from the boundary to the singulariy.

KORKAR KERKER EL VOLO

An Operator Formulation

 \triangleright Let us explore a simple consequence of this. (SB, ArXiv:1608.xxxxx)

 \triangleright Entanglement entropy cannot in general be written as the expectation value of an observable.

 \triangleright No longer true for negativity !

 \triangleright Quantum Information Theory allows us to construct interesting non-local operators \rightarrow Entanglement Witness **Operators**

4 D > 4 P + 4 B + 4 B + B + 9 Q O

 \blacktriangleright Let,

$$
\rho^{\mathcal{T}_A}|\lambda_i\rangle = \lambda_i|\lambda_i\rangle \tag{31}
$$

where $\lambda_i < 0$.

 \blacktriangleright Construct the projector,

$$
P_{\rho} = \sum_{i} |\lambda_{i}\rangle \langle \lambda_{i}| \tag{32}
$$

 \blacktriangleright Define,

$$
W_{\rho} = -\left(P_{\rho}\right)^{T_A} \tag{33}
$$

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | ⊙Q @

$$
N(\rho) = Tr(\rho W_{\rho}) = \langle W_{\rho} >_{\rho} \rangle \tag{34}
$$

 \triangleright W_0 is a non-local observable acting on the Hilbert space of the field theory.

In the 2-d CFT case that we studied, one can talk about observables $W_0(L)$ corresponding to a subsystem of length L.

 \triangleright When W_{ρ} is small it probes the UV region of the bulk and when W_o is big it probes near the singularity.

 \triangleright Consistent with scale-radius duality.

 \blacktriangleright May be identified with "precursors".

\triangleright BUT

 \triangleright No universal precursor which works for all states. We need different observables to probe different states and they are determined by the state.

 \triangleright Similar in spirit to Pappadodimas-Raju, Verlinde-Verlinde proposal, but this should be called "background dependence".

KORK ERKER ADE YOUR