# QCD Strings and Jackiw-Teitelboim Gravity

*Sergei Dubovsky CCPP, New York U.*

*SD, Victor Gorbenko and Mehrdad Mirbabayi 1706.06604, SD, Guzman Hernandez-Chifflet 1611.09796 SD, Victor Gorbenko 1511.01908*

*continuation of SD, Raphael Flauger, Victor Gorbenko, 1404.0037, 1301.2325, 1205.6805, 1203.1054 SD, Victor Gorbenko and Mehrdad Mirbabayi 1305.6939 Patrick Cooper, SD, Victor Gorbenko, Ali Mohsen, Stefano Storace 1411.0703 SD, Peter Conkey 1603.00719* 

The main goal is to read off from the experiment the answer to

What is  $SU(\infty)$  Yang-Mills?

# More precisely, we know that large N QCD is a theory of free strings.

Can we solve this free string theory?

# A typical particle physics experiment



## In our case we have



*1702.03717, 1609.03873, 1602.07634, 1303.5946, 1103.5854, 1007.4720, …* 

Let's divide the question into two parts:

1) What is the worldsheet theory of an infinitely long string?

2) If we know the answer to 1) what can we say about short strings (glueballs)?

# SETUP

✓Confining gauge theory with a gap ✓Unbroken center symmetry



# SETUP

✓Confining gauge theory with a gap ✓Unbroken center symmetry ✓Large *N* 





# Lattice provides us with a finite volume spectrum of the worldsheet theory



 $\frac{F}{\text{F}}$   $\sigma$  black. Suiti of universal  $\sigma s / 10$  corner,  $L$ uscher,  $W$ eisz  $U_1$ And ony, Komargoaski et at O9-13 Theory curves: ground state: sum of universal  $\ell_s/R$  terms Lüscher, Weisz '04 *Lüscher '81 Aharony, Komargodski et al '09-13* 

excited states: TBA calculations *SD, Flauger, Gorbenko'13* 

# TBA allows to reconstruct scattering phase shift



 $D = 3$  *SU*(6)



field content *X* Goldstone  $\textbf{action}$   $S = S_{NG}[X] + \dots$ 

## Can a worldsheet theory be integrable?

# *why this question?*

- ✓To get an idea of what one might expect
- ✓By now we have a few examples of integrable higher dimensional conformal theories (N=4 SYM, ABJM). This looks as a natural definition of an integrable higher-dimensional *confining* theory
- ✓One may expect QCD string to be somewhat simple in the UV. Simple=Integrable?

Can a theory of Goldstones only be integrable?

Yes, at  $D=3$  or 26 Ward identities of non-linearly realized Poincare plus integrability determine

$$
e^{2i\delta(s)} = e^{is\ell_s^2/4}
$$

## Finite volume spectrum from TBA

$$
E(N,\tilde{N}) = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi^2 (N-\tilde{N})^2}{R^2} + \frac{R^2}{\ell^4} + \frac{4\pi}{\ell^2} \left(N + \tilde{N} - \frac{D-2}{12}\right)}
$$

At D=26 this a critical bosonic string

## A simple option to restore integrability at general D

$$
S_{string} = -\ell_s^{-2} \int d^2 \sigma \sqrt{-det(\eta_{\alpha\beta} + \partial_\alpha X^i \partial_\beta X^i + \partial_\alpha \phi \partial_\beta \phi)} + Q \int d^2 \sigma \phi R[X] + \dots
$$

$$
Q=\sqrt{\frac{25-D}{48\pi}}
$$

$$
e^{2i\delta(s)} = e^{is\ell^2/4}
$$

This is also known as a linear dilaton background. A conventional path to non-critical strings.

## Another simple option to restore integrability at D=4

$$
S_{string} = -\ell_s^{-2} \int d^2 \sigma \sqrt{-det(\eta_{\alpha\beta} + \partial_\alpha X^i \partial_\beta X^i + \partial_\alpha \phi \partial_\beta \phi)} + Q \int d^2 \sigma \phi K \tilde{K} + \ldots
$$

$$
Q = \sqrt{\frac{25 - D}{48\pi}} = \sqrt{\frac{7}{16\pi}} \approx 0.373176...
$$

$$
e^{2i\delta(s)} = e^{is\ell^2/4}
$$

Compare to

 $Q_{lattice} \approx 0.38 \pm 0.04$ 

??? 14



Axionic String Ansatz

QCD String is a deformation of an integrable theory of

$$
X^i \quad \text{and} \quad A_{ij} = -A_{ji}
$$

D=4: Goldstones + axion

D=3: Goldstones only

Let us focus on this. What can we tell about short strings? For now will try to predict quantum numbers only :

Spin J, Parity P, Charge conjugation C

$$
J\neq 0: \quad J^C \qquad J=0: \ \ \Theta^{PC}
$$

Tensor Square Structure

$$
\mathcal{H}_{closed\ short}=\sum_N \mathcal{H}_L(N)\otimes \mathcal{H}_R(N).
$$

$$
C: \ \mathcal{H}_L \otimes \mathcal{H}_R \leftrightarrow \mathcal{H}_R \otimes \mathcal{H}_L
$$

### If massive worldsheet excitations were present:

$$
\mathcal{H}_{massive} = \sum_n \mathcal{H}_n
$$

#### *n* is a number of massive particles at rest

### **Multiplication Table**  $\left\langle \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}\right\rangle$  and right-moving components of a closed string state. The components of a closed string state  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}$

$$
0^{P} \otimes 0^{P} = 0^{++}
$$
  
\n
$$
0^{P_{1}} \otimes 0^{P_{2}} + 0^{P_{2}} \otimes 0^{P_{1}} = 0^{(P_{1}P_{2})+} + 0^{(P_{1}P_{2})-}
$$
  
\n
$$
0^{P} \otimes J + J \otimes 0^{P} = J^{+} + J^{-}
$$
  
\n
$$
J \otimes J = (2J)^{+} + 0^{++} + 0^{--}
$$
  
\n
$$
J_{1} \otimes J_{2} + J_{2} \otimes J_{1} = (J_{1} + J_{2})^{+} + (J_{1} + J_{2})^{-} + |J_{1} - J_{2}|^{+} + |J_{1} - J_{2}|^{-}
$$





# Glueball Spin Content

![](_page_19_Picture_210.jpeg)

1

1

*<sup>P</sup>*(*x*) = <sup>Y</sup>

Semiclassical Ansatz

$$
\mathcal{H}_L(N) = \mathcal{H}_R(N) = \mathcal{H}_{open}(N)
$$
  
where  

$$
\mathcal{H}_L(N) = \sum \mathcal{H}_L(N) = \sum \mathcal{H}_L(N)
$$

$$
\mathcal{H}_{open} \equiv \sum_{N} \mathcal{H}_{open}(N) = \sum_{J \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{H}_{J}
$$

at large J one can use perturbation theory around the "rotating rod"

$$
X^{0} = \frac{\ell_{s}^{2} E}{\pi} \tau \qquad X^{1} + iX^{2} = \frac{\ell_{s}}{2i} \sqrt{\frac{2J}{\pi}} \left( e^{i\sigma^{+}} - e^{i\sigma^{-}} \right)
$$

extending the semiclassical answer down to J=0 reproduces observed quantum numbers *suggestive of an underlying localization story?*

To go beyond this heuristics we need a tractable path integral formalism for a single massless boson with

$$
e^{2i\delta(s)} = e^{is\ell_s^2/4}
$$

### of course, we have the Nambu-Goto action

$$
S_{NG}=-\ell_s^{-2}\int d^2\sigma\sqrt{1+\ell_s^2(\partial X)^2}
$$

but it does not look tractable

## More general construction

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

$$
p_i*p_j=\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}p_i^\alpha p_j^\beta
$$

## recently, formulated in the operator language as *TT*¯ *deformation*

*Smirnov, Zamolodchikov, 1608.05499 Cavaglia, Negro, Szecsenyi, Tateo, 1608.05534* 23

"Holographic" Form of the Dressing Factor

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$
e^{i\ell^2/4\sum_{i
$$

Chern-Simons boundary quantum mechanics

$$
S_{CS} = \ell^{-2} \oint d\tau \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} X^{\alpha} \partial_{\tau} X^{\beta}
$$

# *NAdS*<sup>2</sup> Holography *…*

• consider *any* 2D QFT in a rigid  $AdS_2$  (=Poincare disc)

*Jensen, 1605.06098 Maldacena, Stanford, Yang, 1606.06098 Engelsoy, Mertens, Verlinde, 1606.03438* 

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

- calculate generating functional  $Z_0[\lambda_{\mathcal{O}_a}(\tau)]$ for boundary conformal correlators  $\text{functional} \ \ Z_0[\lambda_{\mathcal{O}_a}(\tau)]$
- $\bullet$  introduce dynamical Jackiw-Teitelboim gravity

$$
S = \int \sqrt{-g} \left( \phi(R + \frac{2}{L^2}) - \Lambda + \mathcal{L}_m(g, \psi) \right)
$$

# Dressed generating functional  $Z_{JT}[\lambda_{\mathcal{O}_a}(\tau)] = \int \mathcal{D}\tau e^{\Lambda L^2 \int du Sch(\tau(u))} Z[\lambda_{\mathcal{O}_a}(\tau(u))]$

Schwarzian boundary quantum mechanics

$$
Sch(\tau(u)) = \frac{\partial_u^3 \tau}{\partial_u \tau} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\partial_u^2 \tau}{\partial_u \tau}\right)^2
$$

$$
O_4(\tau_4)
$$

$$
O_5(\tau_5)
$$

$$
O_6(\tau_6)
$$

$$
O_1(\tau_1)
$$

gravitational asymptotic observables—be it *S*-matrix elements or boundary correlators— Heuristics of  $e^{i\lambda s} \sim P^{i\pi p}$ <sup>+</sup> =  $\lim_{L \to \infty} NAdS_2$ Heuristics of  $e^{i\ell_s^2 \sum p_i * p_j/4} =$  lim  $NAdS_2$  plays the role of a Lagrange multiplies, ensuring that the metric is flat (at *L* = 1). Hence, *s*  $\sum p_i * p_j / 4 = \lim_{L \to \infty} NAdS_2$  $L \rightarrow \infty$ 

with a boundary quantum mechanics. Furthermore, it is easy to see that the boundary to see that the boundary t

Chern–Simons theory arises naturally in the JT gravity. Indeed, in the bulk the JT dilaton

$$
S = \int \sqrt{-g} \left( \phi R - \Lambda + \mathcal{L}_m(g, \psi) \right)
$$

JT dilaton is a Lagrange multiplier, which forces *x* a *Dagrange manapher*, which at *L*<br>Latin is a Lagrange multiplier which forces  $m = \frac{1}{2}$ D<br>C *,* (1.7)

$$
Z = \int \mathcal{D}X^{a}\mathcal{D}\psi e^{i\int d^{2}\sigma\sqrt{-g_{f}}\left(-\Lambda + \mathcal{L}_{m}(\psi,g_{f})\right)}
$$
  
with

$$
g_{f\alpha\beta}=\partial_\alpha X^a\partial_\beta X^b\delta_{ab}
$$

 $HVED$ gives

$$
\sqrt{-\Lambda \int d^2 \sigma \sqrt{-g_f} = -\frac{\Lambda}{2} \oint d\tau \epsilon_{ab} X^a \partial_\tau X^b}
$$

Chern-Simons houndary quantum mechanics  $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ **Chern-Simons boundary quantum mechanics** 

Direct Flat Space Derivation

degrees of freedom—the JT dilaton plays the JT dilaton plays the role of a Lagrange multiplier, which kills the  $\sim$ 

Minkowski vacuum gravity is to provide a dynamical system of coordinates. gravity is to provide a dynamical system of coordinates. *g*↵ = *e*<sup>2</sup>⌦⌘↵ *.*

$$
g_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad \phi = -\frac{\Lambda}{4} \eta_{\alpha\beta} \sigma^{\alpha} \sigma^{\beta} = \frac{\Lambda}{2} \sigma^{+} \sigma^{-}
$$

Appears inhomogeneous. Why do we expect Poincare invariant *S-*matrix in the first place? Appears inhomogeneous. Why do we expect Poincare see the answer in the convenient to find the control of the control of  $\mathbf{I}$  $\lambda$  programation field  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$  in the space-time dependence that  $\alpha$ see the answer invariant *S*-matrix in the first Appears inhomogeneous, Why do we expect Poincare ! <sup>+</sup> *<sup>f</sup>*(<sup>+</sup>) + *<sup>g</sup>*() *. SJT* = e

Conformal gauge This action is invariant under arbitrary holomorphic and antiholomorphic shifts of ,

$$
g_{\alpha\beta}=e^{2\Omega}\eta_{\alpha\beta}
$$

JT action

$$
\text{ion} \qquad \qquad S_{JT} = \int d\sigma^+ d\sigma^- \left( 4\phi \partial_+ \partial_- \Omega - \Lambda e^{2\Omega} \right)
$$

*S* invariant under and antiholomorphic shifts of  $\overline{I}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  invariant under  $\mathcal{C}$  see now that the vacuum (2.1), (2.1), (2.2) is invariant under the combination of the combination of the coordinate combination of the combination of the coordinate combination of the combination of the coordinat

is invariant under  
\n
$$
\underbrace{\sigma^{\pm} \rightarrow \sigma^{\pm} + a^{\pm} \quad \phi \rightarrow \phi - \frac{\Lambda}{2} (a^+ \sigma^- + a^- \sigma^+)}_{}
$$

#### ! <sup>+</sup> *<sup>f</sup>*(<sup>+</sup>) + *<sup>g</sup>*() *.* which is a symmetry of the vacuum as well because the conformal gauge fixing on a plane does not leave any residual gauge freedom if with the Galilean shifts of the dilatons of th<br>The dilatons of the dilatons o 28

dynamic Dynamical coordinates of JT gravity

$$
\overbrace{\left(X^{\pm} = 2\frac{\partial_{\mp}\phi}{\Lambda} \equiv \sigma^{\pm} + Y^{\pm}\right)}^{\text{(conformal gauge)}}
$$

Field equations Theorem equations Then equations Theorem equations Theorem equations Then equations Theorem equati

$$
\partial_{+}Y^{-} = -\frac{T_{++}}{\Lambda},
$$

$$
\partial_{-}Y^{+} = -\frac{T_{--}}{\Lambda},
$$

$$
\partial_{+}Y^{+} = \partial_{-}Y^{-} = \frac{T_{+-}}{\Lambda}
$$

*Matter is unperturbed in σ- coordinates* 

## Let's focus on the asymptotic *in*-region

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $P^{\alpha}(p_i)$  ( $P^{\alpha}(p_i)$ ) are operators which add up moments of all with smaller (larger) rapidities compared to ✓*i*. Note that we replaced the dependence of the particles with sinalier (iarger) rapidities  $P_{\leq}^{\alpha}(p_i)$  ( $P_{\geq}^{\alpha}(p_i)$ ) are *operators* which add up momenta of all particles with smaller (larger) rapidities

Before gravity  $\Gamma_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}$  is  $\Gamma_{\alpha}$  . The asymptotic region  $\Gamma_{\alpha}$ 

**Before gravity**  

$$
\psi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dp}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E}} \left( a_{in}^{\dagger}(p) e^{-ip_{\alpha}\sigma^{\alpha}} + h.c. \right)
$$

After gravity

**After gravity**  
\n
$$
A_{in}^{\dagger}(p) = a_{in}^{\dagger}(p)e^{ip_{\alpha}Y^{\alpha}(p)} = a_{in}^{\dagger}(p)e^{-i(p+Y^-(p)+p-Y^+(p))}
$$

$$
[A_{in}^{\dagger}(p), A_{in}^{\dagger}(p')] = 0
$$

*A† in*(*p*) = *a†* Dressed *in*-states

**Dressed in-states**  

$$
|\{p_i\}, in \rangle_{dressed} = \prod_{i=1}^{n_{in}} A^{\dagger}_{in}(p_i)|0\rangle = e^{-\frac{i}{2\Lambda}\sum_{i < j} p_i * p_j} |\{p_i\}, in \rangle
$$

Dressed *out*-states.

$$
|\{q_i\}, out\rangle_{dressed} = \prod_{i=1}^{n_{out}} A_{out}^{\dagger}(q_i)|0\rangle = e^{\frac{i}{2\Lambda}\sum_{i < j} q_i * q_j} |\{q_i\}, out\rangle
$$
  
Dressed S-matrix

The argument for out-states processed in the same way, but results in the same way, but results in an opposite Dressed *S*-matrix

*S*  $\hat{\hat{\mathsf{S}}}$ 

$$
\widehat{S} \equiv \text{dressed}\langle out, \{q_i\} | \{p_i\}, in \rangle_{dressed} = e^{-\frac{i}{2\Lambda} \sum_{i < j} p_i * p_j} S
$$

sign in the final answer for *Y <sup>±</sup>* (*i.e.*, in the analogues of (2.10), (2.11)). Indeed, out-going

particles are *antiordered* in space according to their rapidities, which translates into a sign

 $\Omega$  are states proceeds in exactly the same way, but  $\Omega$ sign in the final answer for *Y <sup>±</sup>* (*i.e.*, in the analogues of (2.10), (2.11)). Indeed, out-going 11 *Q.E.D.* 31

## The same result can be obtained by taking the flat space limit of the Schwarzian dressing

*a nice toy: one can explicitly take the flat limit of AdS holography*

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

$$
S(\{\beta_i\}) = \lim_{\Delta_i \to \infty} \langle \Delta_1^{1/2} \mathcal{V}_1(\beta_1) \dots \Delta_n^{1/2} \mathcal{V}_n(\beta_n) \rangle
$$

holographic LSZ

Schwarzian dressing needs to be modified to reproduce the correct (and unitary) *S-*matrix

$$
S_b = \frac{\Lambda L^2}{2} \left( e^{\frac{R - R_0}{L}} + e^{-\frac{R - R_0}{L}} S_{Sch} \right)
$$

*R* is *dynamical*. Corresponds to integrating over total length of the boundary. This action appears non-local (cf Euclidean wormholes?). However, locality is back in the static gauge.

$$
S_b = \Lambda L^2 \oint du \left( \cosh \frac{r - R_0}{L} - \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{R_0 - r}{L}} r'^2 \right)
$$

## JT gravity

$$
S = \int \sqrt{-g} \left( \phi R - \Lambda + \mathcal{L}_m(g, \psi) \right)
$$

is an example of a "degravitating" theory. Vacuum energy does not affect the space-time geometry. Instead, it results in the UV modification of flat space scattering

$$
\ell_s^{-2} = -\Lambda/2
$$

# Summary

- ✓Lattice QCD provides a rare experimental window into quantum gravity (in 2D)
- ✓YM glueballs walk, talk and quack as closed strings (at least in 3D)
- ✓We finally have a promising tractable action for an integrable approximation to the worldsheet theory  $\overline{1}$ ◆

$$
S_{3D} = \int \sqrt{-g} \left( \phi R + 2\ell_s^{-2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial X)^2 \right)
$$

✓Hopefully, we are approaching the stage when the progress can be made by theorists on their own. However, an input from lattice is very welcome.